

Efficacy and Safety of Vamorolone vs Placebo and Prednisone Among Boys With Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy

A Randomized Clinical Trial

Michela Guglieri, MD; Paula R. Clemens, MD; Seth J. Perlman, MD; Edward C. Smith, MD; Iain Horrocks, MD; Richard S. Finkel, MD; Jean K. Mah, MD; Nicolas Deconinck, MD; Nathalie Goemans, MD; Jana Haberlova, MD; Volker Straub, MD; Laurel J. Mengle-Gaw, PhD; Benjamin D. Schwartz, MD; Amy D. Harper, MD; Perry B. Shieh, MD; Liesbeth De Waele, MD; Diana Castro, MD; Michelle L. Yang, MD; Monique M. Ryan, MD; Craig M. McDonald, MD; Mar Tulinus, MD; Richard Webster, MD; Hugh J. McMillan, MD; Nancy L. Kuntz, MD; Vashmi K. Rao, MD; Giovanni Baranello, MD; Stefan Spinty, MD; Anne-Marie Childs, MD; Annie M. Sbrocchi, MD; Kathryn A. Selby, MD; Migvis Monduy, MD; Yoram Nevo, MD; Juan J. Vilchez-Padilla, MD; Andres Nascimento-Osorio, MD; Erik H. Niks, MD; Imelda J.M. de Groot, MD; Marina Katsalouli, MD; Meredith K. James, PT; Johannes van den Anker, MD, PhD; Jesse M. Damsker, PhD; Alexandra Ahmet, MD; Leanne M. Ward, MD; Mark Jaros, PhD; Phil Shale, PhD; Utkarsh J. Dang, PhD; Eric P. Hoffman, PhD

IMPORTANCE Corticosteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are widely prescribed but long-term use shows adverse effects that detract from patient quality of life.

OBJECTIVE To determine if vamorolone, a structurally unique dissociative steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, is able to retain efficacy while reducing safety concerns with use in Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD).

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS Randomized, double-blind, placebo- and prednisone-controlled 24-week clinical trial, conducted from June 29, 2018, to February 24, 2021, with 24 weeks of follow-up. This was a multicenter study (33 referral centers in 11 countries) and included boys 4 to younger than 7 years of age with genetically confirmed DMD not previously treated with corticosteroids.

INTERVENTIONS The study included 4 groups: placebo; prednisone, 0.75 mg/kg per day; vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day; and vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Study outcomes monitored (1) efficacy, which included motor outcomes (primary: time to stand from supine velocity in the vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, group vs placebo; secondary: time to stand from supine velocity [vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day], 6-minute walk distance, time to run/walk 10 m [vamorolone, 2 and 6 mg/kg per day]; exploratory: NorthStar Ambulatory Assessment, time to climb 4 stairs) and (2) safety, which included growth, bone biomarkers, and a corticotropin (ACTH)-challenge test.

RESULTS Among the 133 boys with DMD enrolled in the study (mean [SD] age, 5.4 [0.9] years), 121 were randomly assigned to treatment groups, and 114 completed the 24-week treatment period. The trial met the primary end point for change from baseline to week 24 time to stand velocity for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day (least-squares mean [SE] velocity, 0.05 [0.01] m/s vs placebo -0.01 [0.01] m/s; 95% CI, 0.02-0.10; $P = .002$) and the first 4 sequential secondary end points: time to stand velocity, vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo; 6-minute walk test, vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo; 6-minute walk test, vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo; and time to run/walk 10 m velocity, vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo. Height percentile declined in prednisone-treated (not vamorolone-treated) participants (change from baseline [SD]: prednisone, -1.88 [8.81] percentile vs vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, +3.86 [6.16] percentile; $P = .02$). Bone turnover markers declined with prednisone but not with vamorolone. Boys with DMD at baseline showed low ACTH-stimulated cortisol and high incidence of adrenal insufficiency. All 3 treatment groups led to increased adrenal insufficiency.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this pivotal randomized clinical trial, vamorolone was shown to be effective and safe in the treatment of boys with DMD over a 24-week treatment period. Vamorolone may be a safer alternative than prednisone in this disease, in which long-term corticosteroid use is the standard of care.

TRIAL REGISTRATION ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: [NCT03439670](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT03439670)

JAMA Neurol. 2022;79(10):1005-1014. doi:[10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.2480](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.2480)
Published online August 29, 2022.

[+ Visual Abstract](#)

[+ Supplemental content](#)

Author Affiliations: Author affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

Corresponding Author: Eric P. Hoffman, PhD, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Binghamton University—State University of New York, PO Box 6000, Binghamton, NY 13902 (ehoffman@binghamton.edu).

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is an X-linked recessive neuromuscular disorder affecting 1 in 3600 to 9300 male newborns.¹ Treatment with oral corticosteroids (prednisone, deflazacort) delays loss of ambulation,² but long-term corticosteroid treatment causes weight gain, stunting of growth, osteoporosis, mood disturbances, adrenal insufficiency, and other safety concerns leading to poor adherence to practice guidelines.^{3,4}

Vamorolone is a first-in-class dissociative steroidal anti-inflammatory drug that binds to the same target receptors as the corticosteroid class (glucocorticoid receptor, mineralocorticoid receptor), but shows a distinct chemical structure and differences in mechanism of action. Vamorolone shows less positive gene transcriptional activity (transactivation) than corticosteroids but retains inhibition of nuclear factor κ B proinflammatory pathways (transrepression). Vamorolone uniquely lacks a 11 β -hydroxyl/carbonyl moiety on the steroidal C ring, changing structure and activity relationships with the receptors.⁵ Further, vamorolone cannot be acted on by modulatory 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase enzymes known to be necessary for mediating corticosteroid-associated bone morbidities in mice.⁶ Lastly, vamorolone is a potent antagonist of the mineralocorticoid receptor, whereas most corticosteroids are agonists.⁷

First-in-patient, open-label, dose-ranging studies of vamorolone in DMD ($n = 48$) suggested improvements in motor outcomes similar to corticosteroids, without stunting of growth over a 2.5-year treatment period, compared with external corticosteroid-treated comparators.⁸⁻¹¹ In the study reported here, we present results of a pivotal 24-week double-blind, placebo- and prednisone- controlled clinical efficacy and safety trial of vamorolone in boys 4 to younger than 7 years of age with DMD who were not previously treated with corticosteroids.

Methods

Participants

Boys 4 to younger than 7 years of age with DMD were enrolled at 33 academic medical sites in 11 countries. Inclusion criteria included a *DMD* gene loss-of-function variation or lack of muscle dystrophin. Race and ethnicity data were gathered using National Institutes of Health guidelines, as required by federal funding for this study. Race data were gathered from query of parents of the children for the following groups: American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, White or Caucasian, Unknown, and Multiple. Ethnicity data gathered were Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino. Participants were not previously treated with corticosteroids and were able to perform time to stand from supine in less than 10 seconds. Full eligibility criteria are provided in the study protocol (Supplements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6). The health care proxy for each participant provided written informed consent. The trial, conducted from June 29, 2018, to February 24, 2021, was approved by the competent ethics committee at each institution and was conducted in accordance with the International

Key Points

Question For steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, can efficacy be retained while safety concerns are reduced among boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) with the novel partial receptor agonist vamorolone?

Findings A randomized, double-blind, placebo- and prednisone-controlled trial of vamorolone (2 dose groups) was carried out in 121 patients with DMD. The trial met the primary (time to stand velocity after 24 weeks for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day vs placebo) and first 4 sequential secondary motor function end points; vamorolone showed loss of bone morbidities compared with prednisone, with no stunting of growth and no deleterious changes in bone biomarkers.

Meaning This study found that vamorolone, a dissociative steroidal anti-inflammatory, was able to reduce bone morbidities while retaining efficacy.

Conference on Harmonisation guidelines for Good Clinical Practice and the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. This study followed the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) reporting guidelines.

Trial Design and Treatment

Sample sizes were determined based on published prednisone-treatment efficacy from a Cooperative International Neuromuscular Research Group prednisone trial in the same age range and then reanalyzed from analysis of vamorolone open-label trial data.⁹ The trial was designed for efficacy as placebo-controlled, as requested by US Food and Drug Administration guidance. The trial included two, 24-week treatment periods. For treatment period 1, participants were randomly assigned to the placebo, prednisone (0.75 mg/kg per day), vamorolone (2 mg/kg per day), and vamorolone (6 mg/kg per day) groups in a 1:1:1:1 ratio. In treatment period 2, participants in the placebo and prednisone groups crossed over to receive vamorolone treatment (2 or 6 mg/kg per day). We report results of treatment period 1 (the statistical analysis plan [SAP] was submitted to the Investigational New Drug file before treatment period 1 unblinding and is included in Supplement 7).

Randomization and Blinding

Randomization was done using an Interactive Voice/Web Response System held by the central pharmacy (Almac). As DMD is a progressive disease, we sought to keep age range distribution similar between treatment groups and included randomization by age group within the 4 to younger than 7-year age range (<6 vs ≥ 6 years). Vamorolone was supplied as a flavored suspension (1.3% for 2 mg/kg per day; 4.0% for 6 mg/kg per day), and volumes were matched for blinding. Prednisone and placebo were supplied as tablets (5-mg tablet). All participants took both tablets and a suspension each morning to maintain the study blinding.

Trial Procedures and Outcomes

Efficacy motor outcomes were time to stand from supine velocity (TTSTAND), 6-minute walk test (6MWT), time to run/walk 10 m (TTRW), time to climb 4 stairs (TTCLIMB), and

NorthStar Ambulatory Assessment (NSAA) total score.¹² Strength outcomes were handheld myometry (elbow flexors, knee extensors). Parent-reported outcomes were Pediatric Outcomes Data Collection Instrument (PODCI), Psychosocial Adjustment and Role Skills Scale III (PARS III), and Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire (TSQM). Motor assessments by trained clinical evaluators were done at screening, baseline, 12 weeks, and 24 weeks.

Safety end points (clinical and laboratory) were assessed at screening, baseline, and weeks 2, 6, 12, 18, and 24. A standard-dose corticotropin (ACTH) stimulation test measuring cortisol at baseline and 30 and 60 minutes after tetracosactide (Synacthen), 250 µg, diagnostic testing was done at screening and week 24.

Pharmacodynamic safety biomarkers (bone turnover and morning cortisol) were done at baseline and weeks 12 and 24. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (for lumbar spine and total body bone mineral density and content and total body composition) and lateral spine radiography (for vertebral fractures from T4 to L4 according to the modified Genant semiquantitative method) were done at screening and week 24, with results analyzed centrally. The full protocol is provided in [Supplements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6](#), and 24-week SAP in [Supplement 7](#).

The primary efficacy end point was mean change from baseline to week 24 for TTSTAND velocity for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo (mixed model for repeated measures [MMRM]). The ranked (hierarchical) secondary outcomes were mean change from baseline to week 24 for TTSTAND velocity for vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo; 6MWT for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo; 6MWT for vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo; TTRW velocity for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo; TTRW velocity for vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo; 6MWT for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs prednisone, 0.75 mg/kg per day; and 6MWT for vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs prednisone, 0.75 mg/kg per day.

The COVID-19 pandemic necessitated protocol modifications that included remote assessment of efficacy and safety. When participants were unable to attend scheduled on-site visits owing to COVID-19 pandemic-related limitations, safety visits were done by telephone or video conference and remote safety laboratory collection. Remote efficacy assessments were limited to the primary outcome (TTSTAND) and undertaken with the clinical evaluator instructing and observing the test by videoconference, while a parent or caregiver recorded the test for upload to a secure website (ChiliPharm) for evaluator timing of test. Secondary and exploratory efficacy and safety outcomes were not assessed remotely (missing data).

Statistical Analysis

SAS, release 9.4 (SAS Institute), for Windows was used for analyses with both SAS and R statistical software, version 4.1.2 (R Foundation), used for figures. In accordance with the SAP, all measurements were analyzed based on the type of distribution, and descriptive statistics were presented by treatment group and assessment time point, as appropriate. No formal interim statistical analyses were done, apart from the interim data reviews and presentations created for the data safety monitor-

ing board. Analyses were summarized for the 4 treatment groups: vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day; vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day; prednisone, 0.75 mg/kg per day; and placebo. For functional outcome efficacy analyses, a fixed sequential testing approach was used, where each test in the prespecified sequence was conducted using a 2-sided α level of .05.

Efficacy outcomes were tested via a restricted maximum likelihood-based MMRM. This model included fixed effects for treatment, week, baseline outcome, age group (per randomization stratification), and the treatment-by-week interaction. Study week was included in the model as a categorical variable along with the treatment-by-week interaction. Within this model, comparisons of outcomes (using least-squares mean [LSM] contrasts) were made at 24 weeks for the vamorolone vs the placebo groups as prespecified (both primary and secondary outcomes). Comparisons of relative drug effect using percentage change from baseline was done as a post hoc analysis with the same MMRM setup. All *P* values were 2 sided, and *P* < .05 was considered significant.

Results

Patients

A total of 133 boys with DMD (mean [SD] age, 5.4 [0.9] years) were screened; 121 were randomly assigned to 1 of the 4 treatment groups, and 114 participants completed the study ([Figure 1](#), [Table 1](#), and [eTable 1](#) in [Supplement 8](#)). The first participant was enrolled on June 29, 2018, and the last patient's final visit for period 1 (24-week treatment) was February 24, 2021. Race demographics included the following groups: 1 American Indian/Alaska Native (0.9%), 12 Asian (10.3%), 2 Black or African American (1.7%), 97 White or Caucasian (82.9%), 1 unknown (0.9%), and 4 multiple (3.4%). Ethnicity data gathered included the following groups: 5 Hispanic or Latino (4.3%) and 112 not Hispanic or Latino (95.7%). No participant withdrew owing to COVID-19 pandemic-related issues. For the primary outcome 24-week assessment, there was 1 assessment missing owing to the COVID-19 pandemic, with 9.6% of the 24-week TTSTAND assessments (11 of 114) done remotely. The 4 additional motor outcomes (6MWT, TTRW, TTCLIMB, NSAA) were not done remotely. Missing data percentages for secondary outcomes owing to COVID-19 remote assessments were 14.5% (17 of 117) for 6MWT and 12.0% (14 of 117) for TTRW. Baseline characteristics were balanced between the 4 groups, inclusive of pharmacodynamic safety biomarkers, with the exception of baseline motor function, which appeared to be better in the prednisone group vs the vamorolone groups ([Table 1](#)).

Efficacy

Primary End Point

All end points were prespecified in the study protocol and SAP ([Supplements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7](#)). The primary end point of change from baseline to week 24 for TTSTAND velocity for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo was met (LSM [SE] velocity, 0.05 [0.01] m/s vs -0.01 [0.01] m/s; LSM difference, 0.06 m/s; 95% CI, 0.02-0.10 m/s; *P* = .002) (modified intention-to-treat [mITT] population) ([Figure 2](#), [Table 2](#)). The placebo group

Figure 1. Study Participant Flowchart




Table 1. Characteristics of the Participants at Baseline (Modified Intention-to-Treat Population)

Characteristic	Mean (SD)			
	Vamorolone		Prednisone 0.75 mg/kg/d (n = 31)	Control (placebo) group (n = 28)
	6 mg/kg/d (n = 28)	2 mg/kg/d (n = 30)		
Quality rating scheme = 1				
Age, y	5.4 (0.9)	5.3 (0.9)	5.5 (0.9)	5.4 (0.8)
Height, cm	107 (7)	108 (9)	111 (6)	109 (9)
Height percentile	23 (25)	30 (29)	37 (29)	33 (29)
Weight, kg	19 (3)	19 (4)	21 (3)	20 (3)
BMI ^a	16.6 (1.4)	16.2 (1.2)	16.8 (1.3)	16.3 (1.1)
TTSTAND velocity, event/s	0.19 (0.06)	0.18 (0.05)	0.22 (0.06)	0.20 (0.06)
6MWT, m	313 (56)	316 (58)	343 (56)	355 (78)
TTRW velocity, m/s	1.6 (0.4)	1.6 (0.3)	1.9 (0.4)	1.7 (0.3)
NSAA score	18.9 (4.1)	17.2 (4.7)	21.2 (5.5)	18.9 (5.3)
TTCLIMB velocity, event/s	0.21 (0.09)	0.20 (0.05)	0.29 (0.11)	0.25 (0.09)
Osteocalcin level, ng/mL ^b	59.7 (14.8)	57.2 (18.3)	55.9 (12.9)	55.0 (13.8)
P1NP level, µg/L	490 (145)	521 (204)	480 (116)	483 (161)
CTX1 level, pg/mL ^c	1074 (206)	1128 (382)	1125 (162)	1079 (258)
Morning cortisol level, nmol/L ^d	235 (67)	238 (83)	212 (66)	199 (62)
Standard dose ACTH stimulation test				
Serum cortisol level, nmol/L				
30 min (Normal range >500)	547 (119)	555 (86)	532 (101)	550 (104)
60 min (Normal range >500)	659 (105)	648 (94)	612 (97)	628 (112)
<500 nmol/L at 30 and 60 min, No. (%)	0 (0)	2 (7.4)	5 (17.2)	3 (10.7)

Abbreviations: 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; ACTH, corticotropin; BMI, body mass index; CTX1, type 1 collagen cross-linked C-telopeptide; NSAA, NorthStar Ambulatory Assessment; P1NP, procollagen 1 intact N-terminal propeptide; TTCLIMB, time to climb 4 stairs; TTRW, time to run/walk 10 m; TTSTAND, time to stand from supine.

SI conversion factor: To convert osteocalcin to micrograms per liter, multiply by 1; to convert serum cortisol to micrograms per deciliter, divide by 27.588.


^a Calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

^b Normal range, 39-121 ng/mL.

^c Normal range, 500-1700 pg/mL.

^d Normal range, 138-690 nmol/L.

Figure 2. Motor End Points Over the 24-Week Treatment Period



A, Shown are motor outcomes and treatment effect in the placebo, vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, and vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, groups. Sequential (hierarchical) secondary end points were prespecified in the statistical analysis plan as discussed with the US Food and Drug Administration in the indicated order as shown (first secondary end point through fifth secondary end point). The trial met the conditions of $P < .05$ for the first 4

sequential secondary end points but failed on fifth secondary end point, and further formal testing of secondary end points was halted. B, Time to climb 4 stairs (TTCLIMB) and NorthStar Ambulatory Assessment (NSAA) were exploratory end points. Error bars represent \pm SE. 6MWT indicates 6-minute walk test; TTRW, time to run/walk 10 m; TTSTAND, time to stand from supine.

showed a stable course with a slight decline relative to baseline, whereas the vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, group vs placebo showed improvement by 6 weeks of treatment (LSM [SE]

velocity, 0.03 [0.01] m/s vs 0 [0.01] m/s; LSM difference, 0.03 m/s; 95% CI, 0.01-0.06 m/s; $P = .02$), continued improvement to 12 weeks of treatment (LSM [SE] velocity, 0.04 [0.01]

Table 2. Primary and Secondary Efficacy End Points vs Placebo and Safety End Points vs Prednisone^a

End point	Vamorolone						Placebo group, change from baseline, mean (SD) [No.]	Prednisone group, change from baseline, mean (SD) [No.]
	6 mg/kg/d group			2 mg/kg/d group				
	Change from baseline, mean (SD) [No.]	End point rank LSM difference (95% CI)	P value	Change from baseline, mean (SD) [No.]	End point rank LSM difference (95% CI)	P value		
Efficacy vs placebo^b								
TTSTAND velocity, rise/s	0.05 (0.07) [27]	Primary: 0.06 (0.02 to 0.10)	.002	0.04 (0.09) [29]	First-rank secondary: 0.05 (0.01 to 0.08)	.02	-0.01 (0.06) [28]	
6MWT, m	28.8 (49.7) [20]	Second-rank secondary: 41.6 (14.2 to 68.9)	.003	31.0 (51.1) [20]	Third-rank secondary: 37.1 (9.6 to 64.7)	.009	-23.9 (59.6) [19]	NA
TTRW velocity, m/s	0.28 (0.28) [25]	Fourth-rank secondary: 0.24 (0.09 to 0.39)	.002	0.16 (0.23) [24]	Fifth-rank secondary: 0.13 (-0.03 to 0.28)	>.05	0.02 (0.33) [24]	
Safety vs prednisone^c								
Height percentile	3.86 (6.16) [26]	4.98 (0.75 to 9.21)	.02	0.26 (9.22) [27]	1.86 (-2.27 to 6.00)	>.05		-1.88 (8.81) [30]
BMI z score	0.52 (0.62) [27]	0.09 (-0.19 to 0.36)	>.05	0.40 (0.45) [27]	-0.06 (-0.34 to 0.22)	>.05	NA	0.41 (0.51) [30]

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; LSM, least-squares mean; MMRM, mixed model for repeated measures; NA, not applicable; TTRW, time to run/walk 10 m; TTSTAND, time to stand from supine.

^a Week 24 changes from baseline are shown. P values are from MMRM using all assessment time points. LSM difference is vamorolone groups vs placebo

group (efficacy) or vs prednisone (safety).

^b Modified intention-to-treat population.

^c Safety population.

m/s vs -0.01 [0.01] m/s; LSM difference, 0.02 m/s; 95% CI, 0.02-0.08 m/s; $P = .001$), and maintained to 24 weeks of treatment. Analyses of the mITT population ($n = 117$) vs per protocol population ($n = 113$) led to similar findings.

Secondary End Points

The first-rank secondary end point was change from baseline to week 24 for TTSTAND velocity for vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo, was met (LSM [SE] velocity, 0.03 [0.01] m/s vs -0.01 [0.01] m/s; LSM difference, 0.05 m/s; 95% CI, 0.01-0.08 m/s; $P = .02$) (Figure 2, Table 2). Vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, showed a larger latency to peak rise compared with vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day. The subsequent 2 secondary end points were also met, change from baseline to week 24 for 6MWT for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo (second-rank secondary end point in Table 2, Figure 2) (LSM [SE] distance, 28.3 [9.6] m vs -13.3 [10.0] m; LSM difference, 41.6 m; 95% CI, 14.2-68.9 m; $P = .003$), and vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo (third-rank secondary end point in Table 2, Figure 2) (LSM [SE] distance, 23.9 [9.7] m vs -13.3 [10.0] m; LSM difference, 37.1 m; 95% CI, 9.6-64.7 m; $P = .009$) (Figure 2, Table 2). The next secondary end point, change from baseline to week 24 for TTRW velocity, was met for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo (fourth-rank secondary end point in Table 2, Figure 2) (LSM [SE] velocity, 0.26 [0.05] m/s vs 0.01 [0.06] m/s; LSM difference, 0.24 m/s; 95% CI, 0.09-0.39 m/s; $P = .002$). The fifth secondary end point was not met for TTRW velocity vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo (fifth secondary end point in Table 2, Figure 2), ending hierarchical testing.

Exploratory End Points

NSAA total score and TTCLIMB velocity were exploratory end points. Both end points showed improvement in favor of vam-

orolone, in both the 2 and 6 mg/kg per day vs placebo groups (NSAA total score: vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day LSM [SE], 2.85 [0.61] vs -0.73 [0.62]; LSM difference, 3.57; 95% CI, 1.90-5.25; $P < .001$; vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day LSM [SE], 2.52 [0.63] vs -0.73 [0.62]; LSM difference, 3.25; 95% CI, 1.53-4.97; $P < .001$) (TTCLIMB velocity: vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day LSM [SE], 0.06 [0.02] vs -0.01 [0.02]; LSM difference, 0.07; 95% CI, 0.03-0.11; $P < .001$; vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day LSM [SE], 0.05 [0.02] vs 0.11 [0.02]; LSM difference, 0.06; 95% CI, 0.02-0.10; $P = .006$) (Figure 2).

Parent-reported outcomes (PODCI, TSQM) and measures of muscle strength (handheld myometry) showed no significant differences between vamorolone and placebo groups. Prespecified analysis of PARS III was limited to 4 of 5 subscales (peer relations, dependency, anxiety and depression, withdrawal), using MMRM, and suggested that vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, showed better adjustment for anxiety and depression compared with prednisone (eTable 2 in Supplement 8); however, this was not adjusted for multiple testing (24 tests done).

Relative efficacy of prednisone and vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, were similar for all 5 motor outcomes (eFigure in Supplement 8). Vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, showed similar effectiveness as prednisone for TTSTAND, 6MWT, and NSAA but less effectiveness for TTRW and TTCLIMB.

Clinical Safety End Points

The number of participants reporting at least 1 treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE) was similar between groups (placebo group, 79.3% [23 of 29]; prednisone group, 83.9% [26 of 31]; vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day group, 83.3% [25 of 30]; vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day group, 89.3% [25 of 28]) (eTable 3 in Supplement 8). The total count of TEAEs was lowest in the placebo group ($n = 77$), highest in the prednisone group

Table 3. Secondary Biomarker Safety End Points (Safety Population)

End point	Vamorolone						Prednisone group, change from baseline, mean (SD) [No.]	Placebo group
	6 mg/kg/d group			2 mg/kg/d group				
	Change from baseline, mean (SD) [No.]	LSM difference (95% CI)	P value ^a	Change from baseline, mean (SD) [No.]	LSM difference (95% CI)	P value ^a		
Osteocalcin level, ^b ng/mL	-0.17 (17.7) [22]	17.1 (9.3 to 24.9)	<.001	8.7 (17.6) [18]	23.8 (15.5 to 32.1)	<.001	-15.5 (15.8) [23]	
P1NP level, ng/mL	-7.9 (122.1) [23]	128.8 (67.2 to 190.4)	<.001	77.2 (151.3) [16]	188.6 (120.7 to 256.4)	<.001	-143.7 (124.6) [23]	NA
CTX1 level, pg/mL	110 (267) [23]	394 (272 to 516)	<.001	189 (290) [17]	481 (349 to 614)	<.001	-320 (174) [24]	NA
Morning cortisol level, nmol/L	-195 (84) [26]	-36 (-68 to -4)	.03 ^c	-99 (84) [21]	59 (25 to 93)	<.001 ^c	-143 (80) [25]	
Standard dose ACTH stimulation test								
Serum cortisol level <500 nmol/L, No./total No. (%)	20/21 (95)			18/21 (86)			26/26 (100)	4/20 (20)

Abbreviations: ACTH, corticotropin; CTX1, type 1 collagen cross-linked C-telopeptide; LSM, least-squares mean; MMRM, mixed model for repeated measures; NA, not applicable; P1NP, procollagen 1 intact N-terminal propeptide. SI conversion factor: To convert osteocalcin to micrograms per liter, multiply by 1; to convert serum cortisol to micrograms per deciliter, divide by 27.588.

^a MMRM of vamorolone groups vs prednisone group.

^b Bone biomarkers (osteocalcin, P1NP, CTX1) and morning cortisol level are MMRM vamorolone dose group vs prednisone group. ACTH challenge is percentage of participants at 24 weeks with both 30-minute and 60-minute cortisol levels less than 500 nmol/L.

^c Fisher exact test (2 tailed) of vamorolone groups vs prednisone group.

(n = 121), and intermediate in the 2 vamorolone groups (2 mg/kg per day, n = 97; 6.0 mg/kg per day, n = 91). A single participant receiving prednisone, 0.75 mg/kg per day, withdrew from the study owing to an AE (personality change, Common Terminology Criteria for AEs [CTCAE] grade 2) that was viewed by the investigator (I.H.) as possibly related to the drug and abated after cessation of the drug. There was a single TEAE in the study considered by the investigator to be severe (aggression, CTCAE grade 3) experienced by a participant receiving prednisone, 0.75 mg/kg per day; the participant remained in the study. One participant in the vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, group experienced a serious AE of viral gastroenteritis, viewed as not related to the study drug.

Height percentile declined in prednisone-treated, but not vamorolone-treated, participants (change from baseline [SD]: prednisone -1.88 [8.81] percentile vs vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, +3.86 [6.16] percentile; $P = .02$). There was linear growth delay in the prednisone group but not in the vamorolone groups (vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs prednisone; LSM difference, 4.98; 95% CI, 0.75-9.21; $P = .02$) (Table 2), consistent with 2.5-year open-label data.^{10,11} The vamorolone and prednisone groups showed similar overall gain in body mass index (increase of 0.4-0.5 body mass index z score over the 24-week treatment period), with high intragroup variability (Table 2).

Two participants had 3 prevalent vertebral fractures at baseline. There were 2 treatment-emergent vertebral fractures at week 24; 1 participant in the prednisone group had a total of 4 incident vertebral fractures, and 1 participant in the placebo group had a single incident vertebral fracture. All vertebral fractures observed in this trial were mild (Genant grade 1) and in the thoracic region. There were no incident long-bone fractures reported. For dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry, only total body lean mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) for the

prednisone group (n = 24) vs the vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, group (n = 18) of 18 comparisons showed significance that survived post hoc adjustment for multiple testing (LSM [SE], vamorolone, 2.61 [1.42] vs prednisone 9.62 [1.29]; unadjusted $P < .001$; Bonferroni-Holm adjusted $P = .007$) in favor of prednisone.

Biomarker Safety End Points

Serum biomarkers of bone formation (osteocalcin, procollagen 1 intact N-terminal propeptide [P1NP]) and bone turnover (type 1 collagen cross-linked C-telopeptide [CTX1]) showed marked reductions with prednisone treatment but not vamorolone treatment (mean [SD] osteocalcin: prednisone vs vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, -15.5 [15.8] ng/mL vs -0.17 [17.7] ng/mL; mean [SD] P1NP: prednisone vs vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, -143.7 [124.6] ng/mL vs -7.9 [122.1] ng/mL; mean [SD] CTX1: prednisone vs vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, -320 [174] pg/mL vs 110 [267] pg/mL; all comparisons $P < .001$; to convert osteocalcin to micrograms per liter, multiply by 1) (Table 3; eTable 4 in Supplement 8).

Participants showed evidence of adrenal insufficiency at baseline, with approximately 10% of morning cortisol and 20% of ACTH-stimulated measures flagged as "LOW" (eTable 5 in Supplement 8). All drug treatment groups showed significant reductions of both morning cortisol at both 12-week and 24-week assessments, and ACTH-stimulation tests (eTable 6 in Supplement 8). By morning cortisol, the vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, group showed less adrenal suppression than prednisone (mean [SD] change from baseline, -99 [84] nmol/L vs -143 [80] nmol/L; $P < .001$; to convert serum cortisol to micrograms per deciliter, divide by 27.588), whereas vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, showed greater adrenal suppression than prednisone (mean [SD] change from baseline, -195 [84] nmol/L vs -143 [80] nmol/L; $P = .03$) (Table 3).

Discussion

In this double-blind randomized clinical trial, boys with DMD receiving vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, and vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, showed improvements in multiple functional end points over the 24-week treatment period compared with placebo (Figure 2). The statistical thresholds for the primary outcome and first 4 secondary outcomes for vamorolone treatment were met, and vamorolone demonstrated efficacy across a 3-fold dose range (2 mg/kg per day to 6 mg/kg per day). The differences in TTSTAND velocity (0.06 rises per second for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo and 0.05 rises per second for vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo) were clinically meaningful (>0.02 rises per second).¹³ The differences in 6MWT (42 m for vamorolone, 6 mg/kg per day, vs placebo and 37 m for vamorolone, 2 mg/kg per day, vs placebo) were also clinically meaningful (>30 m).¹⁴

This trial also validated previous open-label findings of normal growth trajectories over an 18-month period¹⁰ and 30-month period¹¹ in vamorolone-treated boys with DMD. In contrast, prednisone treatment slowed growth trajectories in this 24-week trial, confirming multiple studies of corticosteroid treatment in DMD.^{3,4,11} Furthermore, bone turnover markers support the improved safety profile of vamorolone on bone health, as none showed mean declines in either vamorolone dose group (Table 3). Of note, the 11β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase enzymes have been found to be necessary for corticosteroid-induced bone morbidities in mice; vamorolone is not a substrate for these enzymes as it lacks the 11β moiety acted upon by these enzymes.^{6,15} This observation may explain the favorable bone biomarker profile observed in the vamorolone-treated groups compared with corticosteroids.

Corticosteroid drugs (and endogenous cortisol) potently, broadly, and acutely inhibit the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, and long-term use can lead to adrenal insufficiency.¹⁶ In this trial, boys with DMD showed an unexpected high incidence of adrenal insufficiency at baseline by both ACTH-stimulation and morning cortisol measures. All drug treatment groups showed further suppression of the HPA

axis from baseline ACTH stimulation tests and morning cortisol compared with placebo (Table 3). The incidental finding of adrenal insufficiency at baseline needs further study. Clinical symptoms of adrenal insufficiency overlap with those of DMD (poor growth, fatigue), and the treatment for adrenal insufficiency is supplemental glucocorticoids. It is intriguing to speculate that some of the efficacy of both corticosteroids and vamorolone may be treatment of adrenal insufficiency. In addition, a gene variation that causes congenital adrenal hypoplasia, *NROB1* (encoding DAX1), is adjacent to the *DMD* gene on the X chromosome, providing a potential mechanistic link between DMD and adrenal insufficiency.

Limitations

Limitations of the study include the relatively short study period (24 weeks)—in part to limit length of the placebo group and the withholding of standard of care—use of a single corticosteroid regimen, narrow age range of the study population (4 to <7 years at enrollment), relatively small number of participants per group (although well powered), and missing data on some secondary efficacy outcomes owing to COVID-19 pandemic limitations on participant research visits. The analysis presented here was limited to treatment period 1 (24 weeks). Analysis of treatment period 2 (24 weeks) inclusive of longer-term treatment and crossover groups (placebo to vamorolone; prednisone to vamorolone), and more complete risk/benefit assessments are underway.

Conclusions

In this randomized clinical trial, vamorolone was shown to be effective and safe in the treatment of boys with DMD over a 24-week treatment period. Vamorolone is a dissociative steroid that separates efficacy (improvement of motor outcomes in DMD) from some safety concerns seen with the corticosteroid class (growth deceleration, bone biomarkers abnormalities). The proven efficacy over a broad dose range (2-6 mg/kg per day) may enable physicians to adjust dose based on clinical observations and patient preferences.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: June 25, 2022.

Published Online: August 29, 2022.
doi:10.1001/jama.neuro.2022.2480

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
© 2022 Guglieri M et al. *JAMA Neurology*.

Author Affiliations: John Walton Muscular Dystrophy Research Centre, Newcastle Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Newcastle University, Newcastle, United Kingdom (Guglieri, Straub, James); University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (Clemens); University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle (Perlman); Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina (Smith); Royal Hospital for Children, Glasgow, United Kingdom (Horrocks); Nemours Children's Hospital, Orlando,

Florida (Finkel); St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee (Finkel); Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada (Mah); Neuromuscular Reference Center, UZ Ghent, Ghent, Belgium (Deconinck); Department of Development and Regeneration, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium (Goemans, De Waele); Department of Paediatric Neurology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium (Goemans, De Waele); Neuromuscular Centre, Department of Pediatric Neurology, Motol University Hospital, 2nd Medical School, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic (Haberlova); The Camden Group, St Louis, Missouri (Mengle-Gaw, Schwartz); Richmond Children's Hospital, Richmond, Virginia (Harper); UCLA Medical School, Los Angeles, California (Shieh); UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas (Castro); University of Colorado School of

Medicine, Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora (Yang); The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia (Ryan); Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia (Ryan); University of California, Davis, Sacramento (McDonald); Queen Silvia Children's Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden (Tulinius); Kids Neuroscience Centre, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead, Australia (Webster); University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (McMillan); Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital, Chicago, Illinois (Kuntz, Rao); The Dubowitz Neuromuscular Centre, National Institute for Health Research Great Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical Research Centre, Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health University College London, London, United Kingdom (Baranello); Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom (Spinty); Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust, Leeds, United Kingdom (Childs); Montreal Children's Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada (Sbrocchi); BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Vancouver, British Columbia,

Canada (Selby); Nemours Children's Hospital, Orlando, Florida (Monduy); Schneider Children's Medical Center, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel (Nevo); Hospital Quirónsalud Valencia, Valencia, Spain (Vilchez-Padilla); Neuropaediatrics Department, Institut de Recerca Pediàtrica Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona, Spain (Nascimento-Osorio); Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands (Niks); UMC St Radboud, Nijmegen, the Netherlands (de Groot); P&A Kyriakou Children's Hospital, Athens, Greece (Katsalouli); ReveraGen BioPharma, Rockville, Maryland (van den Anker); Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC (van den Anker); ReveraGen BioPharma, Rockville, Maryland (Damsker, Hoffman); Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (Ahmet, Ward); Summit Analytical, Denver, Colorado (Jaros, Shale); Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (Dang); Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Binghamton University—State University of New York, Binghamton (Hoffman).

Author Contributions: Dr Hoffman had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Guglieri, Clemens, Finkel, Haberlova, Mengle-Gaw, Schwartz, Castro, Ahmet, Jaros, Hoffman.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Guglieri, Clemens, Perlman, Smith, Horrocks, Finkel, Mah, Deconinck, Goemans, Haberlova, Straub, Mengle-Gaw, Schwartz, Harper, Shieh, De Waele, Yang, Ryan, McDonald, Tulinius, Webster, McMillan, Kuntz, Rao, Baranello, Spinty, Childs, Sbrocchi, Selby, Monduy, Nevo, Vilchez-Padilla, Nascimento-Osorio, Niks, de Groot, Katsalouli, James, van den Anker, Damsker, Ahmet, Ward, Jaros, Shale, Dang, Hoffman.

Drafting of the manuscript: Mengle-Gaw, Castro, Rao, Ward, Hoffman.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Guglieri, Clemens, Perlman, Smith, Horrocks, Finkel, Mah, Deconinck, Goemans, Haberlova, Straub, Mengle-Gaw, Schwartz, Harper, Shieh, De Waele, Castro, Yang, Ryan, McDonald, Tulinius, Webster, McMillan, Kuntz, Baranello, Spinty, Childs, Sbrocchi, Selby, Monduy, Nevo, Vilchez-Padilla, Nascimento-Osorio, Niks, de Groot, Katsalouli, James, van den Anker, Damsker, Ahmet, Ward, Jaros, Shale, Dang, Hoffman.

Statistical analysis: Haberlova, Schwartz, Jaros, Shale, Dang, Hoffman.

Obtained funding: Guglieri, Damsker, Hoffman.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Clemens, Perlman, Smith, Horrocks, Finkel, Mah, Goemans, Straub, Ryan, McDonald, Tulinius, Webster, McMillan, Kuntz, Sbrocchi, Monduy, Nevo, Niks, van den Anker, Ward, Hoffman.

Supervision: Guglieri, Mah, Deconinck, Haberlova, Mengle-Gaw, McDonald, Rao, Baranello, Childs, Monduy, Damsker, Hoffman.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Guglieri reported receiving clinical trial and grant support from ReveraGen; grants from the European Commission and the National Institutes of Health; speaker honoraria from Sarepta Therapeutics; serving as principal investigator of a clinical trial of Duchenne muscular dystrophy from Sarepta Therapeutics, Pfizer, Santhera, and Italfarmaco; serving on the advisory board for Pfizer (honoraria

to Newcastle University), NS Pharma (honoraria to Newcastle University), and Dyne (honoraria to Newcastle University) outside the submitted work. Dr Clemens reported receiving grants from ReveraGen, the National Institutes of Health, NS Pharma, Sanofi, Amicus, Spark, and personal fees from Empirium consulting outside the submitted work. Dr Perlman reported being site principal investigator of the clinical investigation sponsored by ReveraGen and serving as site principal investigator of Sarepta, FibroGen, CSL Behring, PTC Therapeutics, Biogen, and Pfizer; and receiving clinical grant funding from advocacy groups Parent Project Muscular Dystrophy, Muscular Dystrophy Association, and Cure SMA. Dr Smith reported receiving salary support from ReveraGen for his role as principal investigator during the conduct of the study outside the submitted work. Dr Horrocks reported having a contract with ReveraGen during the conduct of the study. Dr Finkel reported receiving grants from ReveraGen and MDA outside the submitted work. Dr Mah reported receiving grants from ReveraGen BioPharma, Catabasis, Italfarmaco SpA, NS Pharma, Pfizer, PTC Therapeutics, and Sarepta Therapeutics outside the submitted work. Dr Deconinck reported receiving grants from Roche and Novartis, and personal fees from Sarepta and Biogen outside the submitted work. Dr Goemans reported receiving support for the clinical trial from University Hospitals Leuven and ReveraGen; personal fees from WAVE, Pfizer, and Genethon as a data monitoring committee member; and personal fees from Daiichi Sankyo and Avidity as an advisory board member outside the submitted work. Dr Straub reported receiving grants from the European Union and Sarepta Therapeutics and serving as an advisory board member for Sarepta Therapeutics, Pfizer, Roche, Dyne Therapeutics, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals outside the submitted work. Dr Mengle-Gaw reported receiving personal fees from ReveraGen BioPharma and REGENXBIO outside the submitted work. Dr Harper reported receiving contracted funding as principal investigator for a study from VCU Health, MLBio, ReveraGen BioPharma, AveXis, Novartis, Astellas, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Italfarmaco and NS Pharma; and speakers fees from Sarepta outside the submitted work. Dr Shieh reported receiving grants from PTC Therapeutics, Sarepta Therapeutics, ReveraGen, Solid Biosciences, Pfizer, Novartis Gene Therapies, Biogen, Catalyst, Sanofi, Astellas Gene Therapies, Modis, and Fulcrum; advisory board member fees from Sarepta Therapeutics; and consulting fees from Novartis Gene Therapies, Genentech, Biogen, CSL Behring, Grifols, Argex, and Sanofi outside the submitted work. Dr Castro reported receiving grants from ReveraGen during the conduct of the study. Dr McDonald reported receiving grants from ReveraGen Biopharma, Santhera Pharmaceuticals, Capricor Therapeutics, Catabasis Pharmaceuticals, FibroGen, Pfizer, PTC Therapeutics, University of Rochester, and Sarepta Therapeutics; personal fees from Santhera Pharmaceuticals, Astellas, Avidity Biosciences, Biomarin Pharmaceutical, Capricor Therapeutics, Catabasis Pharmaceuticals, Edgewise Therapeutics, Eli Lilly, Entrada Therapeutics, Epirium Bio, FibroGen, Hoffman La Roche, Italfarmaco, Marathon Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, PTC Therapeutics, Santhera Pharmaceuticals, and

Sarepta Therapeutics outside the submitted work. Dr Webster reported receiving support as principal investigator from Children's Hospital of Westmead during the conduct of the study. Dr McMillan reported receiving personal fees from Novartis Gene Therapy and grants from Roche outside the submitted work. Dr Kuntz reported receiving grants from Astellas, Biogen, Genentech, Novartis, and Sarepta outside the submitted work. Dr Rao reported receiving grants from Santhera; advisory board fees from Sarepta Therapeutics, Regenxbio, PTC Therapeutics; consulting fees from NSPharma, and grants from Solid Biosciences outside the submitted work. Dr Baranello reported serving as principal investigator for a clinical trial for ReveraGen, Pfizer, NS Pharma, Roche, and Sarepta; receiving consultant and speaker fees from Roche; and grants from Sarepta outside the submitted work. Dr Spinty reported serving as principal investigator for a vamorolone study; receiving advisory board fees from Roche, Sarepta, Pfizer, and Biogen; and fees for chairing an industry session from Sarepta outside the submitted work. Dr Childs reported serving as a principal investigator of a study supported by ReveraGen; serving as a principal investigator for the Stride study from PTC; receiving personal advisory board fees from PTC therapeutics; and receiving personal fees from Sarepta for participating in an industry-sponsored workshop outside the submitted work. Drs Sbrocchi, Selby, Nevo, and van den Anker reported having a contract with ReveraGen outside the submitted work. Dr Niks reported serving as a principal investigator for a trial sponsored by ReveraGen; serving as a consultant for Regenxbio, Epirium Bio, and Edgewise Therapeutics; and serving as principal investigator at Leiden University Medical Center for clinical trials with Sarepta, Italfarmaco, NS Pharma, ReveraGen, and FibroGen. Dr Katsalouli reported receiving personal fees from Agia Sofia Children's Hospital during the conduct of the study. Dr James reported receiving consulting fees from ATOM International, fee support from Jain Foundation, and advisory board fees from PTC Therapeutics, Pfizer, Sarepta, and Genethon outside the submitted work. Dr Damsker reported receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health and European Horizons; having a patent for VL0009-102-US pending; being an employee of ReveraGen BioPharma, and holding stock in ReveraGen BioPharma. Dr Ahmet reported receiving honoraria from ReveraGen Honoraria received for early work on this project. Dr Ward reported receiving grants and consultant fees from ReveraGen with funds to the CHEO Research Institute; personal fees from Santhera and PTC with funds to the CHEO Research Institute; and grants from PTC and Catabasis with funds to the CHEO Research Institute outside the submitted work. Dr Jaros reported receiving personal fees from ReveraGen For statistical analyses during the conduct of the study. Dr Shale reported receiving personal fees from ReveraGen BioPharma for 4 studies for this company. Dr Dang reported receiving personal fees from ReveraGen Biopharma and grants from Foundation to Eradicate Duchenne, the National Institutes of Health National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, and the National Institutes of Health National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke outside the submitted work. Dr Hoffman reported receiving salary support from

ReveraGen and AGADA Biosciences; grants from the National Institutes of Health and the European Commission; being a board member of TRINDS outside the submitted work; and having a patent for ES2744879T3 owned by ReveraGen. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This work was funded by the following grants: National Institutes of Health National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke R44NS095423 (Drs Hoffman and Clemens); National Institute of Child Health and Human Development 5U54HD090254 (Dr Hoffman), and National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases U34AR068616 (Dr Clemens) from the National Institutes of Health and grant agreement number 667078 from the European Commission Horizons 2020 (Dr Guglieri). In addition, support for the vamorolone development program was provided by the Muscular Dystrophy Association (US), Foundation to Eradicate Duchenne (US), Parent Project Muscular Dystrophy (US), Duchenne UK (Joining Jack [UK], Duchenne Children's Trust [UK]), Duchenne Research Fund (UK), Save Our Sons (Australia), Michael's Cause (US), Pietro's Fight (US), Alex's Wish (UK), Ryan's Quest (US), and Cure Duchenne (US). Vamorolone was developed through a partnership with the National Institutes of Health National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences Therapeutics for Rare and Neglected Disease program, with support for drug production, formulation, and toxicology studies.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data Sharing Statement: See [Supplement 9](#).

Additional Contributions: We thank Suzanne Gaglianone, BA, ReveraGen BioPharma, for arranging patient family travel and stay, Andrea D'Alessandro, MGC, TRINDS LLC, and the TRINDS study management team, the patients and families that took part in the clinical trial, particularly given the hardships that the COVID-19 pandemic presented, and we thank the clinical coordinators and clinical evaluators at each of the 33 sites that made the study possible. No financial compensation beyond salary was received for these contributions.

REFERENCES

- Mah JK, Korngut L, Dykeman J, Day L, Pringsheim T, Jette N. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the epidemiology of Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy. *Neuromuscul Disord*. 2014;24(6):482-491. doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2014.03.008
- Gloss D, Moxley RT III, Ashwal S, Oskoui M. Practice guideline update summary: corticosteroid treatment of Duchenne muscular dystrophy—report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2016;86(5):465-472. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000002337
- Griggs RC, Herr BE, Reha A, et al. Corticosteroids in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: major variations in practice. *Muscle Nerve*. 2013;48(1):27-31. doi:10.1002/mus.23831
- Bello L, Gordish-Dressman H, Morgenroth LP, et al; CINRG Investigators. Prednisone/prednisolone and deflazacort regimens in the CINRG Duchenne Natural History Study. *Neurology*. 2015;85(12):1048-1055. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000001950
- Liu X, Wang Y, Gutierrez JS, et al. Disruption of a key ligand-H-bond network drives dissociative properties in vamorolone for Duchenne muscular dystrophy treatment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020;117(39):24285-24293. doi:10.1073/pnas.2006890117
- Fenton CG, Doig CL, Fareed S, et al. 11 β -HSD1 plays a critical role in trabecular bone loss associated with systemic glucocorticoid therapy. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2019;21(1):188. doi:10.1186/s13075-019-1972-1
- Heier CR, Yu Q, Fiorillo AA, et al. Vamorolone targets dual nuclear receptors to treat inflammation and dystrophic cardiomyopathy. *Life Sci Alliance*. 2019;2(1):e201800186. doi:10.26508/lsa.201800186
- Conklin LS, Damsker JM, Hoffman EP, et al. Phase IIa trial in Duchenne muscular dystrophy shows vamorolone is a first-in-class dissociative steroidal anti-inflammatory drug. *Pharmacol Res*. 2018;136:140-150. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2018.09.007
- Hoffman EP, Schwartz BD, Mengle-Gaw LJ, et al; Cooperative International Neuromuscular Research Group. Vamorolone trial in Duchenne muscular dystrophy shows dose-related improvement of muscle function. *Neurology*. 2019;93(13):e1312-e1323. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000008168
- Smith EC, Conklin LS, Hoffman EP, et al; CINRG VBP15 and DNHS Investigators. Efficacy and safety of vamorolone in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: an 18-month interim analysis of a non-randomized open-label extension study. *PLoS Med*. 2020;17(9):e1003222. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1003222
- Mah JK, Clemens PR, Guglieri M, et al; NorthStar UK Network and CINRG DNHS Investigators. Efficacy and safety of vamorolone in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: a 30-month nonrandomized controlled open-label extension trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(1):e2144178. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.44178
- McDonald CM, Henricson EK, Abresch RT, et al; CINRG Investigators. Long-term effects of glucocorticoids on function, quality of life, and survival in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2018;391(10119):451-461. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32160-8
- Duong T, Canbek J, Birkmeier M, et al; CINRG-DNHS Investigators. The minimal clinical important difference (MCID) in annual rate of change of timed function tests in boys with DMD. *J Neuromuscul Dis*. 2021;8(6):939-948. doi:10.3233/JND-210646
- McDonald CM, Henricson EK, Abresch RT, et al; PTC124-GD-007-DMD Study Group. The 6-minute walk test and other clinical end points in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: reliability, concurrent validity, and minimal clinically important differences from a multicenter study. *Muscle Nerve*. 2013;48(3):357-368. doi:10.1002/mus.23905
- Fenton C, Martin C, Jones R, et al. Local steroid activation is a critical mediator of the anti-inflammatory actions of therapeutic glucocorticoids. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2021;80(2):250-260. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-218493
- Roy R, Soldin SJ, Stolze B, et al. Acute serum protein and cytokine response of single dose of prednisone in adult volunteers. *Steroids*. 2022;178:108953. doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2021.108953